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## Introduction

What is subgraph reconfiguration [Hanaka et al., 2020]?

- Given a graph $G$, a graph property $\Pi$, we want to reconfigure among subgraphs of $G$ with property $\Pi$.
- 3 Rules: Token Sliding [Hearn and Demaine, 2005], Token Jumping [Kamiński et al., 2012], and Token
Addition/Removal [lto et al., 2011].
- 2 Variants: Edge-variant, and Vertex-variant [Hanaka et al., 2020].

Example under Edge-variant and TJ Rule

The property $\Pi$ : "The graph is a path".



min source

- target


The talk will be restricted to the edge-variant and TJ rule.

## Main Results

| Property | Edge Variant - TJ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Path | NP-hard [Hanaka et al., 2020] |
| Cycle | P [Hanaka et al., 2020] |
| Tree | P [Hanaka et al., 2020] |
| k-bounded <br> Path-width Tree | NP-hard [Theorem 1] |

## k-Bounded Path-width Tree Reconfiguration

## Definition

A graph $G$ is a $k$-bounded path-width tree if $G$ is a tree such that its pathwidth is $k$ or less.

Definition
Given a graph $G$ and $v \in V(G)$, the Hamiltonian $v$-path problem asks whether $G$ has a spanning path starting at $v$.

Theorem
The $k$-BOUNDED PATH-WIDTH TREE RECONFIGURATION problem is NP-hard for any fixed $k \geq 1$.
Proof Overview:

1. Given $(G, v)$ for the Hamiltonian $v$-path problem, construct an instance ( $G^{\prime}, S, T$ ) for the reconfiguration problem.

## Constructing $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ : Overview

Given $(G, v)$. Let $n=|V(G)|$.

where $g(k, n)=3^{k-1} n^{3}, f(k, n)=3^{k-1} \cdot(n-1+n \cdot g(k, n))+2$.

## Constructing $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right):(k, /)$-claw

## Definition

1-sum is an operation that joins 2 graphs by identifying a vertex from each graph and merging them into a single vertex.

(a) $G_{1}$

(b) $G_{2}$

(c) $G_{1} \oplus_{v_{2}, u_{1}} G_{2}$

## Definition

A $(k, I)$-claw is a ternary tree of depth $k$ where each leaf node is 1 -summed with an endpoint of a path of length $I-1$ (called a tail).

Constructing $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right):(k, /)$-claw
Definition
An almost ( $k, I$ )-claw is a $(k, I)$-claw with a tail removed.


Figure: Example of $(k, I)$-claws and almost $(k, l)$-claws

The endpoints of the tails are called the leaf nodes of the ( $k, /$ )-claw.

## Constructing $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ : Spiked Graph

## Definition

Let $G=(V, E)$. We define the $k$-spiked graph of $G$ by adding $k$ new pendant nodes as neighbours of each vertex in the graph.

(a) 1-spiked graph of $K_{4}$

(b) 2-spiked graph of $K_{4}$

We call the vertices of $G$ the base vertices. For a base vertex $v$, the added edges incident to $v$ are called the spike edges of $v$.

## Constructing $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right): G^{\prime}$ revisited


where $g(k, n)=3^{k-1} n^{3}, f(k, n)=3^{k-1} \cdot(n-1+n \cdot g(k, n))+2$.

## Constructing $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ : Source and Target Tokens



Figure: Source subgraph $E_{s}$ (left) and Target subgraph $E_{t}$ (right).

Constructing $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ : Validity of Source and Target
Both source and target induce an almost $(k, l)$-claw.
Lemma
For $k \geq 1$ and $I \geq 2$, an almost $(k, I)$-claw has path-width $k$.


## Constructing $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ : Validity of Source and Target

Both source and target induce an almost ( $k, l$ )-claw.
Lemma
For $k \geq 1$ and $I \geq 2$, an almost ( $k, I$ )-claw has path-width $k$.

## Definition

Let $k \geq 1$ and $T$ be a tree. A vertex $v \in V(T)$ is $k$-good if $T \backslash v$ results in at most 2 branches with pathwidth $k$ or more.

Theorem ([Scheffler, 1990])
Let $k \geq 1$ and $T$ be a tree. $p w(T) \leq k$ if and only if $v$ is $k$-good for all $v \in V(T)$.

## k-Bounded Path-width Tree Reconfiguration

## Theorem

The $k$-BOUNDED PATH-WIDTH TREE RECONFIGURATION problem is NP-hard for $k \geq 1$.
Proof Overview:

1. Given $(G, v)$ for the Hamiltonian $v$-path problem, construct an instance ( $G^{\prime}, S, T$ ) for the reconfiguration problem.
2. Show that $G$ has a Hamiltonian $v$-path if and only if $\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ is reconfigurable.

## $(\Rightarrow) G$ has a Hamiltonian $v$-path



Figure: Source subgraph $E_{s}$ (left) and Target subgraph $E_{t}$ (right).

## $(\Rightarrow) G$ has a Hamiltonian $v$-path

We show a reconfiguration sequence from $S$ to $T$.

1. Move tokens from the tail $a$ to fill the Hamiltonian $v$-paths in each of the spiked graphs.
2. Move the remaining tokens on tail $a$ to the spike edges in each of the spiked graphs, until one token remains on tail $a$.

## $(\Rightarrow) G$ has a Hamiltonian $v$-path

Definition
An augmented ( $k, I$ )-claw is a $(k, I)$-claw where each leaf node is 1 -summed with a graph.


## Definition

An augmented almost ( $k, l$ )-claw is an augmented ( $k, /$ )-claw where an attached graph and its attached tail are removed.
$(\Rightarrow) G$ has a Hamiltonian $v$-path
Lemma
Let $k \geq 1$ and $I \geq 2$. Let $T$ be an augmented almost $(k, I)$-claw. If each attached graph together with its attached tail is of pathwidth 1 , then $T$ has pathwidth $k$.


## $(\Rightarrow) G$ has a Hamiltonian $v$-path

We show a reconfiguration sequence from $S$ to $T$.

1. Move tokens from the tail $a$ to to fill the Hamiltonian $v$-paths in each of the spiked graphs.
2. Move the remaining tokens on tail $a$ to the spike edges in each of the spiked graphs, until one token remains on tail $a$.
3. Move the last token on tail $a$ to tail $b$.
4. Revert steps 2 and 1 but move the tokens to tail $b$ this time.

## $(\Leftarrow)\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ is Reconfigurable



Figure: Source subgraph $E_{s}$ (left) and Target subgraph $E_{t}$ (right).

## $(\Leftarrow)\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ is Reconfigurable: Step 1

- When we place tokens on tail $b$, there is at least 1 other tail where no tokens are placed on it.

Lemma
For $k \geq 1$ and $l \geq 2$, a ( $k, I$ )-claw has path-width $k+1$.


## $(\Leftarrow)\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ is Reconfigurable: Step 1

- When we place tokens on tail $b$, there is at least 1 other tail where no tokens are placed on it.
- At least $f(k, n)-1$ tokens have to move from the source to edges of the spiked graph.
- By a counting argument, for each base vertex $v$, there is a token on at least one spike edge of $v$.


## $(\Leftarrow)\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ is Reconfigurable: Step 2

- There is a spiked graph and its attached tail where the tokens placed on them induce a subgraph of pathwidth 1 .



## $(\Leftarrow)\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ is Reconfigurable: Step 2

Lemma
Let $k \geq 1$ and $I \geq 2$. Let $T$ be an augmented $(k, I)$-claw. If each attached graph $H$ satisfies

- $H$ is a tree, and
- H together with its attached tail is of pathwidth 2 or more, then $T$ has pathwidth $k+2$ or more.



## $(\Leftarrow)\left(G^{\prime}, S, T\right)$ is Reconfigurable: Step 3

- By the spiked graph lemma, there is a Hamiltonian $v$-path in G.


## Lemma (Spiked Graph Lemma)

Let $G$ be a graph. Let $S$ be the $k$-spiked graph of a graph $G$. Let $P$ be a path of length 2 or more with an endpoint $p$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph by 1 -summing $p$ and some base vertex of $S$. If $H$ is a subgraph of $G^{\prime}$ satisfying

1. $H$ is a connected,
2. $H$ is of pathwidth 1 ,
3. $E(H)$ contains all edges of $P$, and
4. $E(H)$ contains at least a spike from each vertex of $G$, then $G$ contains a Hamiltonian path starting at $v$.

Proof Sketch of Spiked Graph Lemma


## Future Direction

- Allowing moving $k$ tokens simultaneously for some fixed $k$.
$\Pi$ is minor-closed. ( $G, S, T$ ) an instance of $\Pi$-RECONFIGURATION.
- Define the quantity " minimum running buffer" (MRB) [Gao et al., 2021] of $(G, S, T)$ as the minimum of the maximum number of token that needs to be placed in a buffer in order to reconfigure.
- MRB of $\Pi$-RECOnfiguration $=$ maximum MRB over all possible instances where $G$ is connected.
- For FOREST-RECONFIGURATION, $M R B=0$.
- For CaCtus-reconfiguration, we think $M R B=1$.
- For PLANAR-RECONFIGURATION, we think $M R B=O(|S|)$.
- Hope to classify graph properties that have bounded MRB.
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